Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,447	EGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Raymond J. Henley III</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Heidi Erlacher</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2006	Time: <u>AM (EDT)</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5		
Prior art documents discussed: Toba et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	-	
2 Mbuls -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 5 may embrace the compound of Toba et al., (see Office action dated 12/19/05) and requested authorization to amend claim 5 by Examiner's amendment. While not specifically agreeing w/ the Examiner's assessment, authorization given for Examiner's amendment to claim 5..